Honorable Ricardo S. Martinez Honorable Michelle L. Peterson

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE

Plaintiff,

Defendant.

LIJUNG EDWARDS-YU,

v.

GENERAL OF THE UNITED STATES,

LOUIS DEJOY, POSTMASTER

Case No. C21-156-RSM-MLP

10

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

17

20

19

2122

23

24

STIPULATED MOTION TO CONTINUE SUMMARY-JUDGMENT REPLY DEADLINE

Noted for Consideration: August 1, 2022

Plaintiff Lijung Edwards-Yu and Defendant Louis DeJoy, Postmaster General of the United States, jointly stipulate and move to extend Defendant's deadline to file a reply in support of summary judgment by thirty (30) days to September 6, 2022.

A court may modify a schedule for good cause. Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(b)(4). Continuing pretrial and trial dates is within the discretion of the trial judge. *See King v. State of California*, 784 F.2d 910, 912 (9th Cir. 1986). Here, good cause exists for continuing the reply deadline. Undersigned counsel recently joined the U.S. Attorney's Office for the Western District of Washington and received this case from AUSA Pat Gugin. AUSA Gugin retired this past Friday, July 29, 2022,

7

5

9

11

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

2223

24

and undersigned counsel received the case and entered her appearance the same day.

AUSA Gugin filed a motion for summary judgment on July 11, 2022. (Dkt. 27.) Plaintiff's response is due today, and Defendant's reply is due Friday, August 5. To adequately represent her client, undersigned counsel requires additional time to familiarize herself with the record and the nuances of the case before filing a reply in support of summary judgment. The parties have conferred and have agreed to the following stipulations:

- 1. Defendant will be given till September 6, 2022, to file the Reply to Plaintiff's Response to Motion for Summary Judgment.
- Defendant will not be refiling a new or Amended Motion for Summary Judgment even
 if the Court decides to extend the noting date for Defendant's Motion for Summary
 Judgment to September 6, 2022.

The parties believe that there is good cause to request an extension of the reply deadline to September 6, 2022, and respectfully request that the Court grant their motion. The parties do not ask to continue the trial date, which is in November 2022.

SO STIPULATED.

DATED: August 1, 2022

/s/ Nolan Lim*

NOLAN LIM, WSBA #36830 Nolan Lim Law Firm, PS 1111 Third Avenue, Suite 1850 Seattle, WA 98101 Office: (206) 774-8874 nolanlim@nolanlimlaw.com

Counsel for Plaintiff
*Signed by permission

—and—

NICHOLAS W. BROWN 1 United States Attorney 2 /s/ Annalisa L. Cravens ANNALISA L. CRAVENS, 3 TX Bar #24092298 Assistant United States Attorney 4 United States Attorney's Office 700 Stewart Street, Suite 5220 5 Seattle, Washington 98101-1271 Phone: 206-553-2257 6 Fax: 206-553-4067 Email: annalisa.cravens@usdoj.gov 7 Counsel for Defendant 8 9 **ORDER** 10 Defendant's reply in support of summary judgment is due September 6, 2022. The Clerk 11 is directed to RE-NOTE Defendant's motion for summary judgment (dkt. #27) for this Court's 12 consideration on September 6, 2022. 13 IT IS SO ORDERED. 14 Dated this 1st day of August, 2022. 15 16 Miles 17 MICHELLE L. PETERSON United States Magistrate Judge 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

STIPULATED MOTION TO CONTINUE SUMMARY-JUDGMENT REPLY DEADLINE C21-156-RSM-MLP - 3 UNITED STATES ATTORNEY 700 Stewart Street, Suite 5220 Seattle, Washington 98101-1271 206-553-7970